Serial Number: 10/566,478 OA dated January 16, 2007

Amdt. dated April 16, 2007

<u>REMARKS</u>

By the present amendment, the specification and abstract have been amended to

correct several apparent typographical errors, adopt the suggestions of the examiner

and/or to improve their presentation. In addition, independent claims 1 and 6 have been

amended to obviate the examiner's objections thereto and/or to further clarify the concepts

of the present invention.

More particularly, claims 1-6 have been amended to, among other things, to recite

that the shape of the hole of the iris plates as a "circular hole" in order to further distinguish

the presently claimed invention from the cavity resonator disclosed by the cited Shimizu

et al patent. The shape of the hole is suggested by the term "hole diameter" of the iris

plate described in the paragraphs [0027] and [0028] of the subject specification. Entry of

these amendments is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, it was noted that the listing of references in the subject

specification was not a proper information disclosure statement and thus, unless submitted

in the proper manner according to Patent Office regulations, would not be considered by

the examiner. By an Information Disclosure Statement submitted concurrently herewith,

all of the references listed in the subject specification have been cited therein.

Serial Number: 10/566,478 OA dated January 16, 2007

Amdt. dated April 16, 2007

Amat. dated April 16, 2007

The Abstract and specification were objected to as containing the various noted

informalities. The Abstract and specification have been amended as suggested.

The drawings were objected to for not showing all of the features as recited in the

claims. Specifically, it was required that (a) the iris plates arranged vertically in Figure 1

and (b) the tube axis at mid-points of the waveguide be shown in Figures 1-3. In so doing,

it was asserted that these features of the invention as claimed are not shown in the

drawings.

In response, it is submitted that the requirements to show these features that are

not accurate and are due to the language as used in the claims. Claims 1 and 6 have

been amended so there is no need to show these features in the drawings.

Claims 1 and 6 were objected to as containing the noted informalities. It is

submitted that the amended claim language is responsive to these objections.

Claims 1, 6, 9, 10 and 17 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over the patent to Sasaki in view of the patent to Shimizu et al. Specifically,

it was asserted that the disclosure of the Sasaki patent allegedly teaches the entire

measuring method as defined by independent claim 1 and the entire moisture content

measuring device as recited in independent claim 6 with two exceptions. In particular, it

OA dated January 16, 2007

Amdt. dated April 16, 2007

was acknowledged that the Sasaki patent does not teach a device which includes two

single holed iris plates which are (1) arranged within the wave guide as recited and (2)

divide the wave guide into resonator portions and traveling wave portions. The Shimizu

et al patent was then alleged to supply the noted teaching deficiencies. Reconsideration

of this rejection in view of the above claim amendments and the following comments is

respectfully requested.

Before discussing the rejection in detail, a brief review of the presently claimed

invention may be quite instructive. An object of using a microwave cavity resonator

provided with iris plates according to the presently claimed invention is to raise the Q value

in order to provide measurements with high accuracy. Conventional normal cavity

resonators as illustrated in the cited references Japanese Patent Application Laid Open

No. 62-169041 or Japanese Examined Patent Publication No. 6-58331, which are cited in

the subject specification and submitted with the concurrently filed Information Disclosure

Statement, do not have iris plates. Consequently, these resonators have low Q values

failing to provide measurements with high accuracy as is set forth in paragraph [0008] of

the present specification. It is submitted that the patents to Sasaki and Shimizu et al,

whether taken singly or in combination, do not teach or suggest the presently claimed

invention.

OA dated January 16, 2007

Amdt. dated April 16, 2007

It was acknowledged in the rejection that the <u>Sasaki</u> patent does not teach a device

which includes two single holed iris plates which are (1) arranged within the wave guide as

recited and (2) divide the wave guide into resonator portions and traveling wave portions.

The Shimizu et al patent allegedly supplied these deficiencies.

However, the Shimizu et al patent only discloses a variable coupling structure for

tuning a microwave resonator, but does not disclose raising the Q value. In the Shimizu

et al patent, implementation of the variable coupling technique is accomplished by

providing a basic elliptical iris aperture 25 in each iris plate 21 (cavity end wall). Any

desired coupling, between maximum and minimum design values, may then be obtained by

merely rotating one of the waveguide portions with respect to the other portion. This relative

rotation provides a variable, relative axis relationship between the elliptical apertures defining

the cavity section 23. By rotating the portions 17 and 19 so that the major axes of the two

iris elliptical apertures defining the cavity section 23 are orthogonal to each other, a minimum

coupling value is obtained. Relative rotation of the portions will gradually increase coupling to

a maximum value when the major axes are in the same plane with each other and the E field,

as illustrated in FIG. 3 and as disclosed in col. 3, lines 31-54 of the Shimizu et al patent.

In view of the above, it is submitted that one of ordinary skill in the art would not be

led to combine the teachings of the two patents in the manner in which was done in the

rejection. While the Sasaki patent does appear to disclose the use of the introduction of

OA dated January 16, 2007

Amdt. dated April 16, 2007

a microwave signal into a cavity resonator for determining the moisture content of a sheet-

like object, in distinct contrast, the Shimizu et al patent only discloses a variable coupling

structure for tuning a microwave resonator having a pair of iris plates. Therefore, the

Shimizu et al patent provides no teachings to one of skill in the art as to the particular utility

of this type of resonator.

Thus, it is submitted that one of ordinary skill would not be motivated to use the

microwave resonator as taught by the Shimizu et al patent in a device for measuring

moisture such as the Sasaki patent. It is well established principle of U.S. patent practice

that the prior art must contain some suggestion for combination since, without such, any

combination is pure speculation on the part of the examiner and is based on a prohibited

hindsight reconstruction from applicants' own disclosure.

For the reasons stated above, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

and allowance of claims 1, 6, 9, 10 and 17 over the cited patents are respectfully

requested.

Dependent claim 5 was rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) over the above cited

patents to Sasaki and Shimizu et al in view of the '067 patent to Fitsky. Additionally,

dependent claim 7 was rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) over the patents to Sasaki and

Shimizu et al in view of the patent to Kich et al. Also, dependent claim 8 has been rejected

OA dated January 16, 2007

Amdt. dated April 16, 2007

under 35 USC § 103(a) over the patents to Sasaki and Shimizu et al in view of the patent

to Nagata et al. Further, dependent claim 12 has been rejected under 35 USC § 103(a)

over the patents to Sasaki and Shimizu et al in view of the patent to Maeno et al and

dependent claims 13 and 14 have been rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) over the patents

to Sasaki and Shimizu et al in view of the patent to Maeno et al and the previously cited

patent to Fitsky. Reconsideration of these rejections in view of the above claim

amendments and the following comments is respectfully requested.

The above remarks relative to the teaching deficiencies of the cited patents to

Sasaki and Shimizu et al are reiterated with regard to these rejections. It is submitted that

the patents to Fitsky, Kich et al, Nagata et al, Maeno et al do not supply these teaching

deficiencies, particularly with respect to motivation of one of ordinary skill in the art to

combine such teachings.

For the reasons stated above, withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

and allowance of claims 5, 7 and 12-14 over the cited patents are respectfully requested.

Applicants acknowledge with appreciation the indication that claims 2-4, 11, 15, 16

and 19 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claim.

Serial Number: 10/566,478 OA dated January 16, 2007

Amdt. dated April 16, 2007

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the subject application is now in

condition for allowance and early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, the undersigned hereby petitions for an

appropriate extension of time. The fee for this extension may be charged to Deposit

Account No. 01-2340, along with any other additional fees which may be required with

respect to this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Donald W. Hanson Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 27,133

Atty. Docket No. 060099 Suite 1000, 1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 659-2930

DWH/rab

Enclosure: Substitute Abstract

23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE